

From: Ken Ross [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 April 2023 22:23
To: Aquind Interconnector
Subject: Formal Objection to the Aquind Interconnector

Dear Mr Shapps

Re: Formal Objection to the Aquind Interconnector

All 5 members of our family would like to object to the Aquind Project on the following grounds:

Aquind does not currently have the finance to fund this and there is lack of clarity about funding. Representatives of Aquind say they have spoken to private and equity individuals about finance and 'do not anticipate a shortfall'. This was some time ago before the recession. Do we really want to trust such a monumental scheme to 'anticipation' and the supposed interest of parties interested in financing the project which is several years old? It is important we know how much funding they actually have, where the funding lies and also where it has come from, and can they plug any shortfalls along the way.

Previously France has publicly threatened to cut off the energy supply to England (eg. 2021, during the dispute over fishing rights off Jersey's coast). The owner and founder of Aquind, Alexander Temerko, is subject to arrest in his own country, Russia, and could be potentially politically exposed. France is decommissioning their nuclear power stations so we will not be able to justify an interconnector with them on the basis that their energy is green, in fact they are having to push for coal fired powered stations to fill their own gap in resources. Can we really justify such a precarious national infrastructure project on even one of these points, let alone three of them - the icing is that the contract with Aquind allows them to charge an uncapped premium on the supply that could 'legally' hold this country to ransom, notwithstanding that two foreign powers, could make the decisions that would affect this country. With the war in Ukraine raging, now is not the time to hand control over our energy to any foreign country.

We live less than 100m from the proposed Aquind site. This borders the South Downs National Park, and the proposed site locality has already been overdeveloped because it is controlled by two different authorities, each allowing development without taking into account the other's development pipeline.

In the space of a few years an area which is countryside has seen industrial development on a huge scale as well as various housing development, solar farms and substation has doubled in size. There is now planning for Aquind, as well as planning submitted or about to be submitted for several battery storage facilities. The countryside area is being overdeveloped. When the substation was extended, huge swaths of trees and shrubs were taken down around the perimeter and inside, with the promise to replace them but tis never happened. These trees also completely screened the substation, but now it is clearly visible.

The proposed site borders the South Down National Park. It is an old bronze age site, with bats, badgers and a wealth of flora and fauna. It is also an area of historical interest. It is thought that James II was married to Anne Hyde in Hinton Daubnay, and the Hyde family lived here for many years. Monach Way is close by which follows the route taken by Prince Charles as he fled to France, and is one of the longest and most well known English footpaths.

The infrastructure of the area can not withstand such a massive development. The lanes are single lane tractor lanes, with limited access points, and no pavements. When the substation was expanded, it created a lot of mess and heavy-duty transport which is unsuitable for a quiet countryside area. Roads feeding into the lanes are also small residential roads and were not built for

heavy vehicles. At the top of the proposed road is a very dangerous blind bend. There has already been an increase in traffic due to the housing developments nearby, and these small country lanes just aren't suitable.

We work in Portsmouth running a charity centre there for vulnerable families with Down syndrome and our extended family live there. None of us want to see the disruption, noise, congestion and increase in traffic pollution that would occur. There is already huge traffic issues coming on and off Portsea Island, and many families struggle to get to us on time for their therapy sessions. The roadworks will cause traffic jams and extra pollution.

There are other alternative sites in the region which already have infrastructure in place and are much better suited. These have been identified, but for some strange reason have not been explored such as Lee-on-Solent, HMS Daedalus. There are many more options, where disruption would be significantly less.

The city of Portsmouth is united in its stance, along with Portsmouth City Council and many more objectors – we do not want Aquind here.

If this project is approved, it will hugely damaging for the government, as there are many who have detailed Alexander Temerko's political donations as the reason the project has got so far, Portsmouth has two seats that easily be lost (both were Conservative until 2017) if this project goes ahead and pressure could also be on East Hants seats.

Please do not grant planning permission to Aquind, or at least, please look more closely at the better suitability of other sites.


Yours sincerely

Ken Ross



Ken Ross
RBH Properties

M: [Redacted]
E: [Redacted]
W: [Redacted]



RBH Properties is a trading name of: Admiral House Property Trading Ltd, Gramon Ltd, HBR PropCo Ltd, Laystall Land Ltd, Marco Island Car Parks Ltd, Marco Island Developments Ltd, MIDL No2 Ltd, MIDL No3 Ltd, RBH Devco Ltd, RBH Dinnington Ltd, RBH No1 Ltd, RBH No2 Ltd, RBH No3 Ltd.

This email is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you have received this email in error, you must not keep, use, disclose, copy or distribute this email: please notify the sender immediately and then delete it.

